Office - Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
FEB 19 1951
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1950

No. 509

SUCKOW BORAX MINES CONSOLIDATED, INC., a corporation; MOJAVE BORAX COMPANY, LTD., a corporation; PAUL O. TOBELER, Executor of the Last Will and Testament of John K. Suckow, Deceased, and RUTH E. SUCKOW,

Petitioners,

vs.

BORAX CONSOLIDATED, LTD., PACIFIC COAST BORAX COMPANY, UNITED STATES BORAX COMPANY, AMERICAN POTASH & CHEMICAL CORPORATION, STAUFFER CHEMICAL COMPANY, WEST END CHEMICAL COMPANY, et al.,

Respondents.

Brief of Respondents in Opposition to Petition for Writ of Certiorari

HERMAN PHLEGER,
MOSES LASKY,
BROBECK, PHLEGER & HARRISON,
111 Sutter Street, San Francisco 4, Calif.
Attorneys for Respondents Borax Consolidated, Ltd., Pacific Coast Borax Company, United States Borax Company, James M. Gerstley, Frank M. Jenifer, Bank of America N. T. & S. A. as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of Clarence McAniffe Rasor, Deceased, and Stauffer Chemical Company.

COLEMAN & McDONALD,
1002 Quinby Building, Los Angeles, Calif.
Of counsel for Respondents Borax Consolidated, Ltd., Pacific Coast Borax Company, United States Borax Company, James M. Gerstley and Frank M. Jenifer.

JOHN L. REITH,
Central Bank Building, Oakland, Calif.
Attorney for Respondent West End Chemical Company.

VINCENT H. O'DONNELL,
Mills Building, San Francisco 4, Calif.
Of counsel for Respondent Stauffer Chemical Company.

MICHAEL F. McCARTHY,
OLIVER & DONNALLY,
110 East 42nd Street, New York 17, N. Y.
Attorney for Respondent American Potash & Chemical Corporation.

PARKER PRINTING COMPANY, 180 FIRST STREET, SAN FRANCISCO

SUBJECT INDEX

	Page
Opinions Below	1
Questions Presented	2
Statement	2
Allegations of the Complaint	2
The Showing on the Motion for Summary Judgment	5
Argument	6
I. The Bar of the Statute of Limitations and of Release May Be Raised by Motion to Dismiss Where the Bar Appears on the Face of the Complaint or It May Be Raised by Motion for Summary Judgment Where It Is Shown That There Is No Genuine Issue of Fact	6
II. Apart from the Procedural Contention Just Discussed, the Petition Suggests No Reason Why the Judgment Is Not Proper Because of the Releases	11
III. In Private Suits for Damages for Violation of the Antitrust Laws the Statute of Limitations Is Not Tolled by Alleged Overt Acts Having No Relation to the Plaintiff's Injury	12
Petitioners' Remaining Argument About the Statute of Limitations Rests on Erroneous Assumptions	15
The Refusal of the Court of Appeals to Reverse the Judgment Because the District Court Declined to Vacate It to Permit the Complaint to Be Amended a Third Time Presents No Ground for Certiorari	17
Conclusion	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES CITED — Pages

A. B. C. Fireproof Warehouse Co. v. Atchison, T. & S. F. Ry. Co., 122 F.2d 657 (8 Cir.) 9
Abram v. San Joaquin Cotton Oil Co., 46 F. Supp. 969 ..... 10n
Aetna Casualty & Surety Co. v. Abbott, 130 F.2d 40 (4 Cir.) 17
A. G. Reeves Steel Construction Co. v. Weiss, 119 F.2d 472 (6 Cir.), cer. den. 314 U.S. 677 8
Albert Pick-Barth v. Mitchell etc. Co., 57 F.2d 96 ..... 15n
Albrecht v. Indiana Harbor Belt R. Co., 178 F.2d 577 (7 Cir.), cer. den. 339 U.S. 949 8
Alexander Milburn Co. v. Union Carbide & Carbon Corp., 15 F.2d 678 (4 Cir.) 14
Anderson & Brown Co. v. Anderson, 161 F.2d 974 (7 Cir.) 8
Anderson v. Linton, 178 F.2d 304 (7 Cir.) 8
Altman v. Curtiss-Wright Corp., 124 F.2d 177 (2 Cir.) 7

Barnhart v. Western Maryland R. Co., 128 F.2d 709 (4 Cir.), affirming 41 F. Supp. 898, cer. den. 317 U.S. 671 8
Berry v. Chrysler Corporation, 150 F.2d 1002 (6 Cir.) 8
Berry v. Franklin Plate Glass Corp., 161 F.2d 184 (3 Cir.), affirming 66 F. Supp. 863, cer. den. 332 U.S. 767 8
Billings Utility Co. v. Advisory Committee, Board of Governors, 135 F.2d 108 (8 Cir.) 9
Bluefields S.S. Co. v. United Fruit Co., 243 Fed. 1, appeal dismissed 248 U.S. 595 14
Bradley v. American Radiator & Standard Sanitary Corp., 6 F.R.D. 37, affirmed 159 F.2d 39 (2 Cir.) 7
Brictson v. Woodrough, 164 F.2d 107 (8 Cir.), cer. den. 334 U.S. 849 9
Burnham Chemical Company v. Borax Consolidated, Ltd., 170 F.2d 569 (9 Cir.), cer. den. 336 U.S. 924 ..... 1, 9, 14, 15, 15n, 16

Carlisle v. Kelly, 72 F. Supp. 326 ..... 11n
Chattanooga Foundry & Pipe Works v. City of Atlanta, 203 U.S. 390 ..... 15n
Continental Collieries, Inc. v. Shober, 130 F.2d 631 (3 Cir.) 8
Cope v. Anderson, 331 U.S. 461 ..... 15n
Cummings v. Hubbell, 7 F.R.D. 360 (W.D. Pa.) 11n

Pages

Dirk Ter Haar v. Seaboard etc. Co., 1 F.R.D. 598 (S.D. Cal.) 10n
Di Sabatino v. Mertz, 82 F. Supp. 248 (M.D. Pa.) 11n

Eller v. Paul Revere Life Ins. Co., 138 F.2d 403 (8 Cir.) 9
Foster & Kleiser Co. v. Special Site Sign Co., 85 F.2d 742 (9 Cir.), cer. den. 299 U.S. 613 ..... 14, 16

General Pictures Co. v. Electric Co., 304 U.S. 175 13
Gerard v. Mercer, 62 F. Supp. 28 (Mont.) 11n
Gifford v. Travelers Protective Association, 153 F.2d 209 (9 Cir.) .. 9
Glenn Coal Co. v. Dickinson Fuel Co., 72 F.2d 885 (4 Cir.) 14
Gossard v. Gossard, 149 F.2d 111 (10 Cir.) 9
Grospian v. Pan American Refining Co., 6 F.R.D. 453 (5 Cir.) 8

Harris Stanley Coal & Land Co. v. Chesapeake & O. Ry. Co., 154 F.2d 450 (6 Cir.), cer. den. 329 U.S. 761 8
Hoover v. Lacey, 80 F. Supp. 691 (D.C.) 11n

Jack Mann Chevrolet Company v. Associates Inv. Co., 125 F.2d 778 (6 Cir.) 10

Kahn v. Cecelia Co., 40 F. Supp. 878 (S.D. N.Y.) 11n
Keene Lumber Co. v. Leventhal, 165 F.2d 815 (1 Cir.) 7
Kithcart v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co., 150 F.2d 997 (8 Cir.), cer. den. 326 U.S. 777 9
Kraushaar v. Leschin, 4 F.R.D. 143 ..... 11n

Lamore v. Laughlin, 159 F.2d 463 (D.C. Cir.) 9
Laughlin v. Garnett, 138 F.2d 931 (D.C. Cir.) 17
Ledbetter v. Farmers Bank & Trust Co., 142 F.2d 147 (4 Cir.) 17
Leonard v. Socony Vacuum Oil Co., 42 F. Supp. 369 14
Lilly v. U. S. Lines, 42 F. Supp. 214 17

Magnum Import Co. v. Coty, 262 U.S. 159 13
Maloney v. Hammond, 176 F.2d 780 (9 Cir.) 17
Markert v. Swift & Co., 173 F.2d 517 17
Massachusetts Bonding & Insurance Co. v. Darby, 59 F. Supp. 175 (W.D. Mo.) 11n
McCarthy v. Bruner, 323 U.S. 673 ..... 13n
Midwest Theatres Co. v. Cooperative Theatres, 43 F. Supp. 216 14
Moffett v. Commerce Trust Co., 75 F. Supp. 303 ..... 11n
Momand v. Universal Film Exchange, 172 F.2d 37 (1 Cir.), cer. den. 336 U.S. 967 ..... 14, 15n

Pages

Nahtel Corporation v. West Virginia Pulp & Paper Co., 141 F.2d 1 (2 Cir.) 7

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. v. Parish, 168 F.2d 238 (10 Cir.) .. 9
Pastor v. American Tel. & Tel. Co., 76 F. Supp. 781 (S.D. N.Y.) .. 11n
Patsavouras v. Garfield, 34 F. Supp. 406 (N.J.) 11n
Pearson v. O'Connor, 2 F.R.D. 521 (D.C.) 11n
Price v. Reynolds Metals Co., 69 F. Supp. 82 (E.D. N.Y.) 11n

Reconstruction Finance Corporation v. Goldberg, 143 F.2d 752 (7 Cir.), cer. den. 323 U.S. 770 8
Reynolds v. Needle, 132 F.2d 161 (D.C. Cir.) 10
Roe v. Sears Roebuck & Co., 132 F.2d 829 (7 Cir.) 9

Sabin v. Home Owners' Loan Corp., 151 F.2d 541 (10 Cir.), cer. den. 328 U.S. 840 9
Schwab v. Nathan, 8 F.R.D. 227 17
Sidney Morris & Co. v. National Association of Stationers, 40 F.2d 620 (7 Cir.) 14
Sinclair v. United States Gypsum Co., 75 F. Supp. 439 (W.D. N.Y.) 11n
Southern Power Co. v. North Carolina Public Service Co., 263 U.S. 508 13
Stanley v. Bird, 85 F. Supp. 358 (W.D. Ky.) 11n
State of Oklahoma v. American Book Company, 144 F.2d 585 (10 Cir.) 16
Statler v. Babcock, 7 F.R.D. 57 (W.D. Pa.) 11n
Stephens v. Reed, 121 F.2d 696 (3 Cir.) 17
Stevens v. Walker, 61 F. Supp. 441 (W.D. Wash.) 11n
Strout v. United Shoe Machinery Co., 208 Fed. 646 14
Suckow Borax Mines Consolidated, Inc. v. Borax Consolidated, Ltd., 81 F. Supp. 301, 185 F.2d 196 1

Twin Ports Oil Co. v. Pure Oil Co., 46 F. Supp. 149 14
Twin Ports Oil Co. v. Pure Oil Co., 119 F.2d 747 (8 Cir.), cer. den. 314 U.S. 644 14

United States v. Arthur, 23 F. Supp. 537 (D.C. N.Y.) 11
United States v. Earling, 39 F. Supp. 864 (Wis.) 11n
United States v. Johnson, 268 U.S. 220 13

Van Sant v. American Express Company, 169 F.2d 355 (3 Cir.) 10

Pages

Williamson v. Columbia Corp., 110 F.2d 15 (3 Cir.), cer. den. 310 U.S. 639 ..... 15n
Wilson v. Seas Shipping Co., 78 F. Supp. 464 ..... 11n
Wright v. Bankers Service Corp., 39 F. Supp. 980 ..... 11n

Young v. Garrett, 159 F.2d 634 (8 Cir.) 17

Zimmerman v. Poindexter, 78 F. Supp. 421 (D.C. Hawaii) 11

STATUTES AND RULES

Moratorium Act of October 10, 1942, 56 Stat. 781 ..... 13, 13n

Rules of Civil Procedure:
Rule 8(c) 7, 10, 11
Rule 9(f) 7
Rule 12(b) 7
Rule 56(e) 16n

TEXTS

1 Barron & Holtzoff, Federal Practice and Procedure (Rules ed.), pp. 509, 510, 522, 523 7

3 Barron & Holtzoff, pp. 125, 126, 128 7

Brown, "Some Problems Concerning Motions Under Federal Rule 12(b)," 3 F.R.D. 146 at 149 7

Commentary, 3 Fed. Rules Serv. 12b.325 7

1 Moore's Federal Practice (1st ed.), p. 597 7

2 Moore's Federal Practice (2d ed.):
Pages 1698, 1920, 2257 7
Page 2258, footnote 4 ..... 11n

Robertson & Kirkham, Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of the United States, 576 10

Stern & Gressman, Supreme Court Practice, 104 10